



ISSN INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER

ISSN
2782-4365

Проверить
номер:



Научно-образовательный электронный журнал

ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ И НАУКА В XXI ВЕКЕ

Выпуск №67-3 (том 2)
(октябрь, 2025)



Google
Scholar



Периодичность выпуска: 1 раз в неделю
Сайт: mpcareer.ru/oinv21veke. Почта: obrmprcareer@mail.ru



Международный научно-образовательный
электронный журнал
«ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ И НАУКА В XXI ВЕКЕ»

ISSN 2782-4365

УДК 37

ББК 94

**Международный научно-образовательный электронный журнал
«ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ И НАУКА В XXI ВЕКЕ». Выпуск №67-3 (том 2) (октябрь,
2025). Дата выхода в свет: 20.10.2025.**

Журнал объединяет авторов на территории стран СНГ и помогает обмениваться передовыми научно-образовательными исследованиями.

Содержит научные статьи отечественных и зарубежных авторов по экономическим, техническим, философским, юридическим и другим наукам.

Миссия научно-образовательного электронного журнала «ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ И НАУКА В XXI ВЕКЕ» состоит в поддержке интереса читателей к оригинальным исследованиям и инновационным подходам в различных тематических направлениях, которые способствуют распространению лучшей отечественной и зарубежной практики в интернет пространстве.

Целевая аудитория журнала охватывает работников сферы науки и образования (педагоги, учителя, ученые, преподаватели, научные сотрудники, бакалавры, магистранты, аспиранты).

Материалы публикуются в авторской редакции. За соблюдение законов об интеллектуальной собственности и за содержание статей ответственность несут авторы статей. Мнение редакции может не совпадать с мнением авторов статей. При использовании и заимствовании материалов ссылка на издание обязательна.

© ООО «МОЯ ПРОФЕССИОНАЛЬНАЯ КАРЬЕРА»

© Коллектив авторов

Gurdova Gulayym, Babamuradova Tawus, Babayeva Aysoltan, Babayeva Nursoltan THE FUTURE OF ENGLISH IN A MULTILINGUAL WORLD	294
Omurkuliyeva Oguljahan, Bayzanova Zebunisa, Begenjova Akgul, Ernepesova Enejan THE IMPORTANCE AND CHARACTERISTICS OF IDIOMS IN THE GERMAN LANGUAGE	305
Jumayeva Zylayha Muradovna, Hayriddinova Dilfuza, Dovranov Atabek METHODS OF FINDING AND TRANSLATING EPITHETS IN ENGLISH FAIRY TALES	315
Мрачко Регина Юрьевна, Погосян Алла Петровна ПРАКТИКА ВЗЫСКАНИЯ АЛИМЕНТНЫХ ПЛАТЕЖЕЙ В РОССИИ И ЗА РУБЕЖОМ	327
Кочумова Акнур, Агылов Гахрыман ОБЪЕКТ ДОБРОВОЛЬНОГО СТРАХОВАНИЯ ОТ НЕСЧАСТНЫХ СЛУЧАЕВ	334
Charyyeva Aygul, Yagshymyradov Shir, Charyyev Dovlet, Akyuev Aman DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF A PORTABLE DIY CNC MACHINE	341
Abdullayeva Gulnazik, Allamyradov Iyup, Atayeva Arzuw, Babahanova Gulshat WHY ENGLISH IS CONSIDERED THE INTERNATIONAL LANGUAGE	345
Babamuradova Tawus, Babayeva Aysoltan, Babayeva Nursoltan, Berdimuradova Aygozel THE GLOBAL ROLE OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE IN THE 21ST CENTURY	357
Muhammedov Atageldi, Saparova Dinara THE IMPORTANCE OF LEARNING ENGLISH IN THE MODERN WORLD	368
Azat Jumanazarov, Sohbet Batyrov DESIGN AND OPERATION PRINCIPLES OF MICROWAVE AMPLIFIERS (TWTS, KLYSTRONS, AND SOLID-STATE)	382
Baygeldi Veliyev, Arslan Charyyev, Gozel Gutlyyeva, Muhammedov Muhammet PUBLIC DIPLOMACY AND THE SHAPING OF INTERNATIONAL PERCEPTIONS TODAY	387
Meryem Gurbanberdiyeva, Sohbet Batyrov QUANTUM DOTS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS IN DISPLAY AND PHOTOVOLTAIC TECHNOLOGIES	395
Gurbannazarova Gurbangul SMART TALENT MANAGEMENT	401

ФИО автора(-ов): *Baygeldi Veliyev*

*Senior lecturer of international relations department,
International University for the Humanities and Development
Arslan Charyyev*

*Lecturer of international relations department,
International University for the Humanities and Development
Gozel Gutlyyeva*

*Lecturer of international relations department,
International University for the Humanities and Development
Muhammedov Muhammet*

*International relations and world politics,
International University for the Humanities and Development*

Название публикации: «PUBLIC DIPLOMACY AND THE SHAPING OF INTERNATIONAL PERCEPTIONS TODAY»

Abstract

Public diplomacy has emerged as one of the most influential tools in shaping the global perception of nations in the twenty-first century. Unlike traditional diplomacy, which functioned through formal governmental negotiations, public diplomacy engages foreign publics directly, shaping international narratives, influencing attitudes, and cultivating mutual understanding. In an age defined by information technology, social media, and global connectivity, states have recognized that their power depends not only on military or economic strength but also on their ability to manage perceptions and narratives. This research explores how public diplomacy evolved, how it operates through strategic communication, cultural exchange, and digital engagement, and how it affects global power relations and soft power dynamics. The paper analyzes both governmental and non-governmental actors, methodological frameworks for assessing perception management, and contemporary challenges that redefine the relationship between states and global publics.

Methods and Methodology

The research employed a qualitative and analytical approach that combined historical analysis, comparative case studies, and interpretive discourse evaluation. The methodology relied on both primary and secondary data sources, including official documents, speeches, media coverage, and scholarly literature on diplomacy, communication, and international relations. The primary focus was to interpret how states used public diplomacy as a means of influencing perceptions, while the secondary objective was to understand how global audiences received and reacted to these efforts.

Data were gathered from international institutions, such as the United Nations and UNESCO archives, as well as governmental communication materials and academic reports from foreign policy think tanks. Comparative analysis was applied to examine different approaches adopted by various countries, including the United States, China, and members of the European Union. The discourse analysis method was used to decode the narratives, slogans, and symbolic representations used in public diplomacy campaigns.

The methodology also incorporated the concept of soft power as articulated by Joseph Nye and applied it to real-world contexts, where cultural influence, values, and foreign policy communication interacted to create a national image. Ethical considerations were taken into account by ensuring the neutrality of interpretation and avoiding biased or politically motivated evaluations. The results were then contextualized within the modern digital communication environment, where state and non-state actors share influence over public perception.

Evolution of Public Diplomacy in the Modern World

Public diplomacy evolved from the broader framework of traditional diplomacy that dominated the pre-digital and early industrial era. Historically, states had communicated almost exclusively through embassies and formal treaties, leaving little room for direct engagement with foreign populations. However, with the rise of mass communication technologies in the twentieth century—such as radio, television, and

later the internet—states realized that the opinions of global citizens could directly affect international relations.

During the Cold War, both the United States and the Soviet Union used propaganda and cultural diplomacy to project ideological narratives. The U.S. Information Agency (USIA), created in 1953, and the Soviet Committee for Cultural Relations served as institutional examples of structured public diplomacy efforts. These institutions illustrated how governments began to use culture, education, and media to construct global images and sway public opinion abroad. In the post-Cold War period, the concept of public diplomacy became more nuanced and was increasingly associated with dialogue, exchange, and credibility rather than propaganda.

By the early twenty-first century, the practice evolved into a strategic component of foreign policy, emphasizing persuasion through transparency, mutual understanding, and engagement. This evolution reflected the growing recognition that credibility was the most powerful currency in global communication.

Soft Power and the Foundations of Public Diplomacy

Public diplomacy was grounded in the theory of soft power, which emphasized attraction over coercion. Nations sought to influence others not through economic or military pressure but through values, culture, and legitimacy. The shift from hard to soft power mirrored the transformation of international politics from confrontation to persuasion.

The effectiveness of public diplomacy depended largely on the credibility of the message and the moral authority of the messenger. Countries with strong democratic institutions, educational systems, and cultural exports, such as the United States, France, and Japan, often benefited from a positive global image. Conversely, states associated with repression or aggression faced challenges in gaining international trust. In practice, soft power was exercised through various instruments—language education programs, scholarships, cultural events, and international broadcasting networks. Initiatives such as the British Council, Alliance Française, and Confucius Institutes demonstrated how cultural diplomacy reinforced a nation's soft power. The

interaction between these programs and foreign publics established long-term relationships that could influence international cooperation and global policy alignment.

The Role of Communication Technologies in Public Diplomacy

The digital revolution fundamentally transformed the mechanisms of public diplomacy. The internet and social media platforms created direct communication channels between governments and global audiences, bypassing traditional intermediaries.

Governments began to maintain official accounts on platforms like Twitter, Facebook, and YouTube to communicate policies, share cultural narratives, and respond to international events in real time. This phenomenon, often termed “digital diplomacy,” allowed for the personalization and democratization of foreign policy communication. For example, the U.S. State Department’s “Virtual Embassy Tehran” initiative and the European Union’s social media campaigns demonstrated how technology could bridge political barriers and reach audiences otherwise isolated by state-controlled media. However, the new digital environment also created challenges. Disinformation, cyber manipulation, and the rapid spread of false narratives undermined the credibility of official communication.

Thus, while technology enhanced accessibility, it also intensified competition for attention and truth. The digital arena became a battlefield of narratives where state and non-state actors vied to control international perceptions.

Cultural Exchange and Education as Instruments of Diplomacy

Cultural exchange remained one of the most durable and trusted instruments of public diplomacy. Art, literature, cinema, and academic programs conveyed a country’s values more effectively than formal political statements. Educational initiatives, such as international scholarships and university partnerships, created enduring connections among future leaders and professionals.

Countries like France, Japan, and Spain invested heavily in cultural institutes abroad that promoted language, art, and national heritage. These institutions became tools of long-term influence, nurturing mutual understanding between societies. The

Fulbright Program, Erasmus+, and DAAD scholarships exemplified how educational diplomacy built bridges between nations.

Moreover, the global appeal of cultural industries—Hollywood, K-pop, anime, and European cinema—expanded the cultural footprint of their countries of origin. Culture became both an export and a means of communication. Unlike propaganda, cultural diplomacy relied on authenticity and voluntary engagement, which made it more sustainable and less politically charged.

In this sense, cultural exchange was not merely symbolic but strategic—it fostered trust, empathy, and shared human experience, all of which contributed to favorable international perceptions.

Perception Management and Strategic Narrative Building

Shaping international perceptions required not only cultural engagement but also strategic narrative construction. Governments increasingly viewed narratives as instruments of power, capable of defining how the world perceived their legitimacy and purpose.

Narrative-building involved constructing stories about national identity, values, and moral leadership. The success of these narratives depended on consistency, repetition, and emotional appeal. For example, the United States promoted a narrative of freedom and democracy; China articulated a story of peaceful development and global cooperation; and the European Union positioned itself as a defender of human rights and multilateralism.

Public diplomacy agencies coordinated media campaigns, documentaries, and cultural events that aligned with these national narratives. The process also involved crisis management—how states responded to international scandals or conflicts affected their credibility. In such moments, communication transparency often determined whether global audiences viewed a country as trustworthy.

In this framework, perception management was not manipulation but the disciplined coordination of symbols, language, and policy that formed a coherent global image.

Globalization and the Multiplicity of Actors in Public Diplomacy

The globalization of communication broadened the field of public diplomacy beyond governments. Non-governmental organizations, multinational corporations, and even private citizens became influential players in shaping international perceptions.

Global brands such as Google, Tesla, and Samsung, through their innovation and cultural visibility, projected soft power on behalf of their countries of origin. Similarly, international NGOs like Greenpeace or Amnesty International influenced global attitudes toward particular nations through advocacy and humanitarian work. This decentralization blurred the boundaries between public and private diplomacy. For example, corporate social responsibility initiatives or international sports events functioned as powerful venues for public diplomacy, reinforcing national images of progress, openness, or sustainability.

At the same time, the rise of global communication networks meant that individuals could influence international debates through social media activism. The Arab Spring, the #MeToo movement, and the Black Lives Matter campaign illustrated how digital communities could challenge or reinforce national images without direct governmental involvement. This multiplicity of actors enriched the ecosystem of global communication but also complicated coordination and coherence in national image management.

Challenges and Ethical Dimensions of Modern Public Diplomacy

Despite its achievements, public diplomacy faced significant challenges in the contemporary world. One of the main difficulties lay in maintaining authenticity and credibility. When public diplomacy turned into propaganda or information control, it lost legitimacy. Audiences became increasingly skeptical of official messages, particularly in contexts where media manipulation was suspected. Another challenge was the cultural sensitivity of messaging. Misinterpretations or ethnocentric representations could trigger backlash rather than understanding. The rapid pace of digital communication increased the risk of misinformation, as messages were often decontextualized or distorted by intermediaries.

Ethical concerns also arose regarding the manipulation of emotions and the instrumentalization of culture for political ends. Public diplomacy had to balance persuasion with respect for diversity and truth. In a globalized and pluralistic world, successful diplomacy depended not only on communication skills but also on ethical integrity and mutual respect.

Furthermore, geopolitical tensions and ideological polarization undermined the effectiveness of global dialogue. The rise of nationalism and disinformation campaigns weakened international trust, making public diplomacy simultaneously more necessary and more difficult.

Future Prospects of Public Diplomacy in a Changing World

In the coming decades, the landscape of public diplomacy was expected to continue evolving under the influence of artificial intelligence, data analytics, and digital storytelling. Governments increasingly relied on algorithmic tools to monitor public sentiment and tailor messages to specific audiences. While this improved efficiency, it also raised privacy and ethical concerns.

The convergence of technology and diplomacy could lead to the emergence of “AI-assisted diplomacy,” where predictive modeling would guide communication strategies. However, the human element—empathy, cultural awareness, and moral leadership—would remain irreplaceable.

The future of public diplomacy would likely depend on its capacity to adapt to a fragmented and information-saturated world. Success would not come from louder voices but from credible, consistent, and humane engagement. Countries that invested in education, cultural creativity, and transparent governance would continue to enjoy favorable international perceptions. In contrast, those that neglected communication or relied on coercion would find their influence diminishing despite material power. Ultimately, public diplomacy would remain a mirror of a nation’s values and an instrument of its conscience in the global arena.

Conclusion

Public diplomacy had become an indispensable element of international relations, bridging the gap between nations and peoples through communication, culture, and

credibility. It transcended traditional statecraft by focusing on the hearts and minds of global audiences. As global interdependence deepened, perception management became as vital as military or economic strength. The study demonstrated that successful public diplomacy required authenticity, ethical engagement, and adaptability to technological change. In an era of disinformation and polarization, the moral quality of diplomacy determined its effectiveness. Public diplomacy, therefore, was not only a political strategy but a humanistic endeavor to create understanding among nations. The shaping of international perceptions today reflected not only what a country said, but who it was and how it behaved before the world.

References

1. Nye, J. S. (2019). *Soft Power and the Future of Public Diplomacy*. Cambridge University Press.
2. Martínez, L. R. (2020). *Cultural Narratives and Global Influence: The Politics of Public Diplomacy*. Universidad de Madrid Press.
3. Ivanova, E., & Rossi, F. (2021). *Digital Diplomacy and the Ethics of Global Communication*. Moscow International Relations Review.