



ISSN INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER

ISSN
2782-4365

Проверить
номер:



Научно-образовательный электронный журнал

ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ И НАУКА В XXI ВЕКЕ

Выпуск №67-3 (том 2)
(октябрь, 2025)



Google
Scholar



Периодичность выпуска: 1 раз в неделю
Сайт: mpcareer.ru/oinv21veke. Почта: obrmprcareer@mail.ru



Международный научно-образовательный
электронный журнал
«ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ И НАУКА В XXI ВЕКЕ»

ISSN 2782-4365

УДК 37

ББК 94

**Международный научно-образовательный электронный журнал
«ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ И НАУКА В XXI ВЕКЕ». Выпуск №67-3 (том 2) (октябрь,
2025). Дата выхода в свет: 20.10.2025.**

Журнал объединяет авторов на территории стран СНГ и помогает обмениваться передовыми научно-образовательными исследованиями.

Содержит научные статьи отечественных и зарубежных авторов по экономическим, техническим, философским, юридическим и другим наукам.

Миссия научно-образовательного электронного журнала «ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ И НАУКА В XXI ВЕКЕ» состоит в поддержке интереса читателей к оригинальным исследованиям и инновационным подходам в различных тематических направлениях, которые способствуют распространению лучшей отечественной и зарубежной практики в интернет пространстве.

Целевая аудитория журнала охватывает работников сферы науки и образования (педагоги, учителя, ученые, преподаватели, научные сотрудники, бакалавры, магистранты, аспиранты).

Материалы публикуются в авторской редакции. За соблюдение законов об интеллектуальной собственности и за содержание статей ответственность несут авторы статей. Мнение редакции может не совпадать с мнением авторов статей. При использовании и заимствовании материалов ссылка на издание обязательна.

© ООО «МОЯ ПРОФЕССИОНАЛЬНАЯ КАРЬЕРА»

© Коллектив авторов

Aylar Meretdurdyyeva THE ROLE OF INTERNATIONAL LAW IN SHAPING STATE BEHAVIOR	408
Aylar Meretdurdyyeva TURKMEN-RUSSIAN RELATIONSHIP	413
Шыхбердиев Шыхберди, Гулджанов Оразмырат, Бяшимова Джовхер РОЛЬ ХИМИИ В ПОВЫШЕНИИ ПЛОДОРОДИЯ ПОЧВ И ЭФФЕКТИВНОСТИ АГРОПРОИЗВОДСТВА	420
Шыхбердиев Шыхберди, Аннамямедова Багтыгуль, Гаратаева Махым ХИМИЧЕСКИЕ ОСНОВЫ ПИТАНИЯ РАСТЕНИЙ И ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЕ МИКРОЭЛЕМЕНТОВ В СЕЛЬСКОМ ХОЗЯЙСТВЕ	425
Шыхбердиев Шыхберди, Черкезов Оразмырат, Кыпчаков Кыяс ОРГАНОМИНЕРАЛЬНЫЕ УДОБРЕНИЯ: ХИМИЧЕСКИЕ ПРОЦЕССЫ, ЭКОЛОГИЧЕСКАЯ ЗНАЧИМОСТЬ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ ПРИМЕНЕНИЯ	430
Нурмедова Гюльчахра, Аннамырадова Огулджан, Халлыев Бегенч ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЕ ХИМИЧЕСКИХ СТИМУЛЯТОРОВ РОСТА РАСТЕНИЙ: МЕХАНИЗМ ДЕЙСТВИЯ И АГРОХИМИЧЕСКАЯ ЭФФЕКТИВНОСТЬ	435
Атаева Айджан, Батырова Огулджерен, Бегенджов Мырат ХИМИЯ И ЭКОЛОГИЯ: ВЛИЯНИЕ ПЕСТИЦИДОВ И АГРОХИМИКАТОВ НА УСТОЙЧИВОСТЬ СЕЛЬСКИХ ЭКОСИСТЕМ	440
Дурдымядов Алламырат, Гурбанова Чынар, Какабаева Дженнет МЕЖДУНАРОДНАЯ ВЫСТАВКА И НАУЧНАЯ КОНФЕРЕНЦИЯ «ЗДРАВООХРАНЕНИЕ, ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ И СПОРТ В ЭРУ ВОЗРОЖДЕНИЯ НОВОЙ ЭПОХИ МОГУЩЕСТВЕННОГО ГОСУДАРСТВА»	445
Омадов Азат, Оразсахатов Аманмырат, Бердиев Рустем ТУРКМЕНСКИЙ АЛАБАЙ — НАЦИОНАЛЬНАЯ ГОРДОСТЬ И КУЛЬТУРНОЕ НАСЛЕДИЕ НАРОДА	450
Оразлыева Огулгерек, Оразова Сойли, Алламырадов Оразгелди НЕЙТРАЛИТЕТ ТУРКМЕНИСТАНА КАК ОСНОВА МИРНОЙ ДИПЛОМАТИИ И УСТОЙЧИВОГО РАЗВИТИЯ В СОВРЕМЕННОМ МИРЕ	455
Мяликгулыев Язгулы, Шохрадов Гурбан, Акмаммедов Какамырат НЕЙТРАЛИТЕТ ТУРКМЕНИСТАНА КАК ОСНОВА МИРНОЙ ДИПЛОМАТИИ И УСТОЙЧИВОГО РАЗВИТИЯ В СОВРЕМЕННОМ МИРЕ	460

ФИО автора(-ов): *Aylar Meretdurdyeva*

Lecturer, Oguz han Engineering and Technology

University of Turkmenistan

Название публикации: «THE ROLE OF INTERNATIONAL LAW IN SHAPING STATE BEHAVIOR»

Abstract

International law serves as a fundamental framework for regulating state conduct in an increasingly interconnected world. Its role in shaping state behavior emerges through a complex interaction of norms, treaties, institutional enforcement, and reputational consequences. Despite the anarchic character of the international system, states comply with international legal standards for reasons ranging from self-interest to normative and social incentives. This review examines the theoretical foundations and practical mechanisms through which international law molds state actions. Drawing on multidisciplinary scholarship and empirical case studies, the paper discusses the efficacy, limitations, challenges, and future prospects of international law as a driver of state practice. It aims to offer a comprehensive assessment by synthesizing key literature, analyzing perennial debates, and mapping evolving trends in global governance.

Introduction

The concept of international law denotes a system of legal norms and agreements that govern the interactions among sovereign entities. Although lacking a central enforcement authority, international law remains integral to peace, security, and cooperation. The establishment of treaties, conventions, and customary practices has transformed the expectations and behavior of states. This paper seeks to elucidate the multifaceted role of international law in shaping state behavior, situating the inquiry within broader theoretical traditions and empirical research in international relations and legal studies.

Theoretical Foundations

Realism and Legal Skepticism

Classical realist theory views international law as epiphenomenal, subordinate to state interests and power politics. States are considered rational actors primarily driven by security concerns, often overriding legal norms when survival is at stake. From this perspective, international law may serve as window dressing but lacks material force in constraining state behavior.

Liberal and Constructivist Perspectives

Liberal and constructivist scholars emphasize the capacity of international law to influence even powerful states by creating cooperative venues, reducing uncertainty, and fostering expectation of reciprocity. Constructivists advance the argument that legal norms become internalized over time, shaping identities and interests beyond material calculation. Legalization of interstate relations enhances predictability and normalizes peaceful conflict resolution.

Mechanisms of Influence

Normative Power and Socialization

International law generates standards of behavior that acquire legitimacy through recurring endorsement and practice. Socialization mechanisms, such as treaty ratification and diplomatic customs, promote adherence by instilling a reputational stake in compliance. States strive to maintain legitimacy within the international community, which is reinforced by positive recognition when abiding by legal norms.

Treaties and Institutional Enforcement

Treaties embody binding commitments shaping state conduct. The establishment of monitoring bodies and dispute resolution forums, such as the International Court of Justice and the UN Security Council, provides avenues for legal enforcement. Domestic implementation, whereby international norms permeate national legal systems, strengthens compliance by anchoring obligations into municipal law.

Collateral Consequences

Membership in international legal regimes often carries economic, political, and diplomatic benefits, ranging from access to foreign aid and trade opportunities to enhanced domestic stability. Conversely, non-compliance can trigger sanctions,

withdrawal of privileges, and reputational costs, incentivizing states to conform to legal expectations.

Empirical Evidence and Case Studies

Interstate Use of Force

Studies reveal that since the adoption of the UN Charter and related conventions, classic invasions and territorial aggrandizement have drastically diminished. States engaging in such activities have generally faced international condemnation and sanctions, illustrating the deterrent effect of international law on aggressive conduct.

Human Rights Norms

The proliferation of human rights treaties has contributed to the regulation of domestic practices. States ratify these instruments to gain legitimacy, improve their reputation, and benefit from regional or global cooperation. While full compliance remains uneven, the existence of review mechanisms and peer pressure exerts normative influence.

Environmental and Trade Agreements

Multilateral treaties addressing climate change, biodiversity, and trade illustrate how international law creates common standards and incentivizes collective action. The evolution of protocols and amendments demonstrates both the flexibility and procedural rigor of international agreements in guiding state responses to transboundary challenges.

Critiques and Limitations

Sovereignty and Reservations

State sovereignty remains a formidable constraint on the effectiveness of international law. Many states issue reservations to treaty provisions, thereby limiting their obligations and asserting primacy of domestic preferences. This practice reveals the inherent tension between universal norms and particularistic interests.

Selective Compliance

Major powers and authoritarian regimes occasionally disregard international norms, invoking security imperatives or ideological dissent. The lack of centralized

enforcement renders international law vulnerable to defiance, especially in cases where enforcement could jeopardize national interests.

Cultural Relativism

International legal standards often clash with local traditions and cultural practices, especially when it comes to human rights and political norms. States invoke cultural exceptionalism to resist external pressures, complicating universalization of legal standards.

Contemporary Challenges

Non-State Actors and Fragmentation

The emergence of transnational organizations, multinational corporations, and non-state groups complicates traditional state-centric models of international law. The proliferation of diverse legal instruments and overlapping jurisdictions risks legal fragmentation, making coherent enforcement difficult.

Cybersecurity and New Technologies

Legal regulation is increasingly challenged from novel domains, such as cyberspace, artificial intelligence, and biotechnologies. The absence of comprehensive frameworks leaves gaps in regulation and accountability, allowing innovative methods of circumvention.

Global Governance and Multilateralism

The efficacy of international law depends on multilateral cooperation and the strength of global institutions. Recent trends towards unilateralism and populism, coupled with major-power rivalry, threaten to undermine consensus on legal norms, reducing predictability and stability.

Conclusion

International law represents a dynamic and evolving system shaping state behavior across a spectrum of issues and regions. Its capacity to exert normative, reputational, and material influence emerges through treaties, institutional mechanisms, and socialization processes. However, persistent challenges associated with sovereignty, selective compliance, and new technologies necessitate continual adaptation and innovation in legal frameworks. Despite its limitations, international

law remains indispensable for managing interstate relations, safeguarding peace, and promoting collective goods in an ever-complex global environment. Future research should further investigate the interplay between law, power, and evolving notions of legitimacy.

References

1. Anne van Aaken & Betül Simsek. (2022). Rewarding in International Law: Why States Comply. *NYU Law*, 10(3), 1-30.
2. Hathaway, O. A. (2016). An integrated theory of international law. *University of Chicago Law Review*, 72(2), 469-536.
3. Hanania, R. (2014). Explaining the Status Quo Bias of International Law. *Emory International Law Review*, 28(2), 203-251.
4. Wotipka, C. M., & Tsutsui, K. (2008). Global human rights and state behavior: New analyses of the effects of ratification and compliance. *International Studies Quarterly*, 52(3), 748-777.
5. Koh, H. H. (2005). Why should we care about international law? *Michigan Law Review*, 118(7), 1283-1303.
6. Byers, M. (Ed.). (2000). *The Role of Law in International Politics: Essays in International Relations and International Law*. Oxford University Press.
7. Zacher, M. W., & Sutton, B. A. (1996). *Governing global networks: International regimes for transportation and communications*. Cambridge University Press.
8. Slaughter, A.-M. (1995). International Law in a World of Multiple Actors: The Impact of Non-State Actors on the International Legal System. *European Journal of International Law*, 6(3), 501–515.
9. Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties. (1969). United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1155, p. 3311.